## REVENUE—(MUZRAI).

(*Nos. 43 to 47.*)

SUBJECT NO. 43.

Facilities for the administration of muzrai institutions.

Mr. T. Ramachendra Rao, Magadi Taluk.

(*a*) *Mr. T. Ramachandra Rao* pointed out that owing to want of tasdiks and adequate scale of pay, the work of many of the muzrai institutions was at a standstill and as such *utsavams* and other ceremonials were not being regularly observed. As the present scale of establishment was fixed long ago, he requested that the same might be suitably revised by Government.

*The Second Member of Council* stated that, in regard to the major institutions, Government had already considered this question and revised the scale of establishment, and that as regards the minor institutions, the revision was left to the Deputy Commissioner. He added that, if particular instances in which the scale of establishment was low and required revision were brought to the notice of Government, necessary steps would be taken.

(*b*) *The member* urged that Amildars might be empowered to sanction bills up to Rs. 25 or Rs. 100 per annum on the recommendation of the Dharmadarsis.

*The Dewan* replied that the subject would be noted for consideration.

(*c*) The member urged that contractors undertaking work relating to muzrai institutions may be given advances on proper security being furnished and the bills for the work done or materials collected promptly paid.

*The Second Member of Council* pointed out that the inconvenience complained of was not likely to occur hereafter in view of the fact that muzrai works had been transferred to the P. W. Department. He added that the system of paying advances to contractors was wrong in principle and could not therefore be approved by Government.

(*d*) The member urged that, in places where there were muzrai institutions, a choultry and other facilities such as water-supply, etc., might be provided at the time of *jatras*, as much inconvenience was being felt by pilgrims for want of the same.

*The Second Member of Council* replied that wherever Government found it necessary, they did provide such facilities if funds were available at the disposal of the institutions concerned and that, if in any particular cases such facilities were not provided for, representations might be made locally when they would be duly considered.

SUBJECT NO. 44.

Investment of funds of all muzrai institutions.

(*a*) Messrs. A. Srikantappa, Bangalore District Board and T. Ramachandra Rao, Magadi Taluk.

(*b*) Gubbi Komaraiya and K. Naranappa, Hole-Narsipur Taluk and Bale Venkatasamaiya, Hole-Narsipur Town Municipality.

(*a*) *Messrs. Srikantappa and Ramachandra Rao* pointed out that in cases where inam lands endowed to muzrai institutions were sold or otherwise disposed of, the sale proceeds thereof were credited to the surplus funds. When a fresh inam land had to be purchased, the sanction of Government would be necessary to get back the amount from the surplus fund. As this caused a good deal of delay and inconvenience and the amount would be at the disposal of Government without fetching any interest, the members prayed that such amounts might be ordered to be deposited in the Savings Bank until fresh lands were purchased.

They also urged that the several items of income of muzrai institutions such as amarayi, pasturage, fees on shops during jatras, etc., which were being credited to the funds of the institutions might be allowed to be kept in

the Savings Banks or in Co-operative Societies so that interest may be realised on such amounts and the same used for the benefit of the institutions.

*The Second Member of Council* replied that there was no objection to such amounts being deposited in Savings Banks.

(*b*) The members further urged that the surplus funds of muzrai institutions under Government management which were at present not invested so as to earn an income therefrom except what had been invested in the Mysore State Loan, might be ordered to be kept as deposit either in Savings Banks or in Co-operative Societies.

*The Second Member of Council* replied that there would be no objection if the funds were kept in Savings Banks, but not in Co-operative Societies.

SUBJECT No. 45.

Establishment of Veda Patasalas at every taluk headquarter town.

Mr. C. Narayanaswami Chetty, Chikballapur Taluk.

The member pleaded for the opening of a Sanskrit Veda Patasala in every taluk headquarter town in the State, the cost being met in equal proportions by Government and the municipalities concerned.

*Per contra.*—Mr. D. S. Mallappa stated that Veda Patasalas were not necessary for each taluk, but the purpose in view would be better served if members of all communities were ordered to be admitted into the Sanskrit Colleges in the State.

*The Dewan* replied that if the municipalities came forward with contributions in this behalf, Government would consider the proposal favourably.

SUBJECT No. 46.

Transfer of annachatrams instead of closing them.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao, Magadi Taluk.

*Mr. Karnick Kirshnamurthy Rao.*—Many of the Government annachatrams are now closed. If an annachattram is found unnecessary in a particular place, it may be ordered to be located in a place where it is needed. It is not just that all chattrams in the State should be closed and the funds so saved should be utilised for chattrams in Tirupathi and Kasi, starving our local travellers in the State. In the chattrams, arrangements should be made for the feeding of all irrespective of caste, creed or community. Arrangements should also be made at least for giving one meal a day for poor travellers in road side areas where railway and other rapid communications are not available.

*The Dewan* read out the policy of Government on this subject laid down in the Government Order of 11th October 1916 and which was to the effect that all annachattrams which by reason of railway and other facilities created served no useful purpose should be abolished and in their place Rest Houses should be established. He added that, if a chattram was required to be continued in a particular place, a case might be made out for the same and Government addressed in the matter.

SUBJECT No. 47.

Exemption of the suits relating to puduvat amounts from the operation of the Limitation Regulation.

Mr. Shamachar, Arkalgud Taluk.

The member urged that, as large sums of puduvat amounts were remaining unrecovered from a long time, suits relating to the recovery of these amounts might be exempted from the operation of the Limitation Regulation and arrangements made for their speedy recovery.

*The Second Member of Council* replied that it was not desirable to adopt the suggestion put forward. He added that, even if the documents were time-barred in such cases, if the local officers reasoned with the parties and